

- tive. In *Politics and History in Band Societies*. Eleanor Leacock and Richard Lee, eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cronk, Lee 1989 Low Socioeconomic Status and Female-Biased Parental Investment: The Mukogodo Example. *American Anthropologist* 91(2): 414-429.
- Cronk, Lee 1989b From Hunters to Herders: Subsistence Change as A Reproductive Strategy among the Mukogodo. *Current Anthropology* 30(2): 224-234.
- Cronk, Lee 1991 Intention Versus Behavior in Parental Sex Preferences Among the Mukogodo of Kenya. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 23: 229-240.
- Dahl, Gudrun and Anders Hjort 1976 Having Herds: Pastoral Herd Growth and Household Economy. Department of Social Anthropology, University of Stockholm.
- Daly, Martin and Margo Wilson 1983 *Sex, Evolution and Behavior*. Boston: Willard Grant Press.
- Rostow, Walter W. 1956 *The Take-Off into Self-Sustained Growth*. *The Economic Journal*: March.
- Trivers, Robert L. 1972 Parental Investment and Sexual Selection. In *Sexual Selection and the Descent of Man*. B. Campbell, ed. Chicago: Aldine.

Sacrificing Reality for the Primitive Accumulation of Models: A Comment on Bell and Song

LEE CRONK

*Department of Anthropology
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-4352*

Although I am pleased that my publications have provided sufficient data for Bell and Song's re-analysis, their model suffers from a series of unrealistic assumptions that vitiate their conclusions regarding the causes and consequences of female-biased parental investment among the Mukogodo.

Before I enumerate the problems I find in Bell and Song's article, I will briefly explain the argument I made in my original paper on female-biased parental investment among the Mukogodo (1989). The Mukogodo are a small group of Maa-speaking pastoralists who find themselves at the bottom of a regional socioeconomic hierarchy in terms of wealth, ethnic status, and reproductive opportunities for males. An important outcome of that is that male Mukogodo have worse reproductive prospects than female Mukogodo. While many male Mukogodo must either delay marriage or even go without marrying, all female Mukogodo are able to find husbands, either among the Mukogodo themselves or among a neighboring group. They thus fulfill the preconditions of the Trivers-Willard model of sex-biased parental investment (Trivers and Willard 1973). In its broadest formulation, the Trivers-Willard model predicts that when sons and daughters differ in terms of their probable reproductive success, parents will favor the sex of offspring with the best reproductive prospects. Judging from dispensary and clinic records and from data on nursing, Mukogodo parents fulfill the predictions of the model by investing more in daughters than in sons. The rest of this comment will explain my reasons for rejecting Bell and Song's alternative analysis of my data on the Mukogodo.

Female-biased parental investment does increase the reproductive success of Mukogodo parents.

Bell and Song's argument that the observed pattern of female-biased parental investment among the Mukogodo does not increase the genetic representation of Mukogodo parents in future generations is apparently based on an assumption that male survivorship would increase and female survivorship would remain the same in the absence of such a bias. This is

unlikely to be the case. Given a limited amount of time and resources to invest in sons and daughters, the Mukogodo are investing more in daughters. In addition to lowering the survivorship of male offspring, such a pattern is likely to increase the survivorship of female offspring. They are thus sacrificing a certain number of sons for a certain number of daughters. Given the fact that daughters have better reproductive prospects than sons, this strategy does in all probability increase the genetic representation of Mukogodo parents in future generations.

There is no reason to think that an increase in the number of Mukogodo young men would reduce the polygyny rate among older men.

Bell and Song argue that an increase in the number of young men among the Mukogodo would decrease the number of wives for older Mukogodo men, and that this competition between fathers and sons may explain the neglect of sons. This is essentially the local resource or mate competition model of sex-biased parental investment (Hamilton 1967; Clark 1978; Cronk 1991b: 390–393), and does not explain the bias in Mukogodo parental investment as well as the Trivers-Willard model (Trivers and Willard 1973). Assuming that the additional young men would be able to obtain the livestock needed for bridewealth payments themselves through wage labor, which most young Mukogodo men already must do, then there is no reason to think that there would be any such effect on the marital success of older Mukogodo men. The Mukogodo are but a small part of a large regional mating system which would have no trouble accommodating the small increase in demand for women. The problem for Mukogodo parents comes not when their sons are grown and in need of bridewealth. It comes when their children are young, and they must divide their limited time and resources among them. In other words, the key competition for parental resources is between young siblings, not between fathers and sons, and Mukogodo parents respond to the situation by favoring the sex with the best reproductive prospects.

Mukogodo agnatic groups are not corporate.

Bell and Song assume that there is a group, not necessarily coinciding with the named patrilineages of the Mukogodo but still having some corporate identity, that “actively pursues a self-interested strategy for obtaining resources (wives and cattle) in an effort to replicate the characteristics of the Samburu or the Maasai.” No such group actually exists in Mukogodo society. Neither the agnatically recognized and named Mukogodo patrilineages nor any other agnatic kin groups among the Mukogodo have any corporate identity. Herds are owned and managed by individuals, and

decisions about marriage are made by individual men and women and their parents.

The assumption that all cattle are owned by men over age 43 is unrealistic.

Cattle are owned by Mukogodo men of all ages, even by some in their teens. It is unclear why Bell and Song found it necessary to make such an unrealistic assumption.

Neighboring groups falsify Bell and Song's model.

It is possible to test Bell and Song's model by applying it to other groups in the Mukogodo area that fit their criteria but that does not fit the preconditions for the application of the Trivers-Willard model. The Mumonyot, Iingwesi, and Digirri are small groups that neighbor the Mukogodo and that share with them the same basic language, culture, and subsistence economy. Like Mukogodo men, men in these non-Mukogodo groups are usually poorer than Maasai and Samburu men (Cronk 1990; Herren 1990). They thus fulfill the conditions of Bell and Song's model. They do not, however, fulfill the conditions of the Trivers-Willard model since there appears to be no difference in the reproductive prospects of sons and daughters in any of these groups (Cronk 1990).

Bell and Song's model makes two predictions about these groups. First, they should exhibit female-biased parental investment because of the presumed competition between fathers and sons. Second, they should be following the cattle accumulation strategy claimed for the Mukogodo, i.e., marrying fewer wives than possible in an effort to build up their herds to the levels of the Samburu and Maasai. Neither prediction is fulfilled. The same dispensary and clinic data that show a female bias in Mukogodo parental investment show no such bias for non-Mukogodo parental investment. Although complete information on marriage for these groups is unavailable, data I collected on one Mumonyot lineage suggests that more women have married into them than have married out (Cronk 1990). Bell and Song's model is falsified on both counts.

Wealth and reproductive success usually do correlate in pre-demographic transition societies.

Bell and Song argue that their model challenges the “sociobiological presumption” that wealth and reproductive success correlate in traditional, pre-demographic transition societies. The idea that culturally defined success, which may be wealth, hunting success, or other things depending on the society in question, and reproductive success are likely to correlate

in traditional societies is a hypothesis, not a presumption, and it has been supported with data from a wide variety of societies (Irons 1976, 1979; Barkow 1977; Chagnon 1979, 1988; Essock-Vitale 1984; Faux and Miller 1984; J. Hill 1984; Kaplan and Hill 1985; Mealey 1985; Turke and Betzig 1985; Betzig 1986; Flinn 1986; Hughes 1986; Borgerhoff Mulder 1987; Low 1990; Voland 1990; see also Cronk 1991a: 29), including the Mukogodo (Cronk 1991c) and their neighbors (Cronk 1990). It is now quite clear that a positive correlation between reproductive success and not only wealth but also other culturally defined forms of success is routine in pre-demographic transition societies.

On one point, Bell and Song and I do agree: the current Mukogodo situation is, in all likelihood, transitional. I have documented some aspects of this transition, including recent improvements in Mukogodo wealth and status (Cronk 1990). Eventually Mukogodo women may lose their reproductive advantage, and parental investment in the sexes may equalize. Such a change would provide further support for the Trivers-Willard hypothesis that parents may be able to facultatively adjust their investment in the sexes according to current conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This comment is a result of an ongoing exchange of papers and ideas between Duran Bell and Shunfeng Song and me, and I would like to thank them for making our correspondence so simulating and rewarding. I would also like to thank Beth Leech for her suggestions on this comment, D. Bruce Dickson and Katherine Dettwyler for their comments on an earlier manuscript on this topic that helped me prepare this comment, and Dwight Read for organizing the session at the annual meetings of the American Anthropological Association at which Bell and Song's original paper was presented and for encouraging me to write this comment. My research among the Mukogodo was supported by the Population Council, the National Science Foundation, and the Institute for Humane Studies. I retain responsibility for any errors or shortcomings.

REFERENCES CITED

- Barkow, J. H. 1977 Conformity to Ethos and Reproductive Success in Two Hausa Communities: An Empirical Evaluation. *Ethos* 5: 409-425.
 Betzig, L. L. 1986 Despotism and Differential Reproduction. Hawthorne: Aldine.
 Borgerhoff Mulder, M. 1987 On Cultural and Reproductive Success: Kipsigis Evidence. *American Anthropologist* 89: 617-34.
 Chagnon, N. A. 1979 Is Reproductive Success Equal in Egalitarian Societies? In *Evolu-*

- tionary Biology and Human Social Behavior: An Anthropological Perspective.* N. A. Chagnon and W. Irons, eds. Pp. 374-401. North Scituate, Mass.: Duxbury.
 Chagnon, N. A. 1988 Life Histories, Blood Revenge, and Warfare in a Tribal Population. *Science* 239: 985-992.
 Clark, A. B. 1978 Sex Ratio and Local Resource Competition in a Prosimian Primate. *Science* 201: 163-165.
 Cronk, L. 1989 Low Socioeconomic Status and Female-Biased Parental Investment: The Mukogodo Example. *American Anthropologist* 91: 414-429.
 Cronk, L. 1990 Stratification, Bridewealth, and Marriage Patterns Among the Mukogodo and Their Neighbors, Laikipia District, Kenya. *Research in Economic Anthropology* 12: 89-109.
 Cronk, L. 1991a Human Behavioral Ecology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 20: 25-53.
 Cronk, L. 1991b Preferential Parental Investment in Daughters Over Sons. *Human Nature* 2(4): 387-417.
 Cronk, L. 1991c Wealth, Status, and Reproductive Success Among the Mukogodo of Kenya. *American Anthropologist* 93(2): 345-360.
 Essock-Vitale, S. M. 1984 The Reproductive Success of Wealthy Americans. *Ethology and Sociobiology* 5: 45-49.
 Faux, S. F. and H. L. Miller 1984 Evolutionary Speculations on the Oligarchic Development of Mormon Polygyny. *Ethology and Sociobiology* 5: 15-31.
 Flinn, M. 1986 Correlates of Reproductive Success in a Caribbean Village. *Human Ecology* 14: 225-43.
 Hamilton, W. D. 1967 Extraordinary Sex Ratios. *Science* 156: 477-488.
 Herren, U. 1990 Socioeconomic Stratification and Small Stock Production in Mukogodo Division, Kenya. *Research in Economic Anthropology* 12: 111-148.
 Hill, J. 1984 Prestige and Reproductive Success in Man. *Ethology and Sociobiology* 5: 77-95.
 Hughes, A. L. 1986 Reproductive Success and Occupational Class in Eighteenth-Century Lancashire, England. *Social Biology* 33: 109-115.
 Irons, W. 1976 Emic and Reproductive Success. Paper presented at the 75th annual meeting of the American Anthropological Association, Washington, DC.
 Irons, W. 1979 Cultural and Biological Success. In *Evolutionary Biology and Human Social Behavior: An Anthropological Perspective.* N. A. Chagnon and W. Irons, eds. Pp. 257-272. North Scituate, Mass.: Duxbury.
 Kaplan, H. and K. Hill 1985 Hunting Ability and Reproductive Success in Male Ache Foragers: Preliminary Results. *Current Anthropology* 26: 131-133.
 Low, B. S. 1990 Occupational Status, Landownership, and Reproductive Behavior in 19th-Century Sweden: Tuna Parish. *American Anthropologist* 92: 457-68.
 Mealey, L. 1985 The Relationship Between Social Status and Biological Success: A Case Study of the Mormon Religious Hierarchy. *Ethology and Sociobiology* 6: 249-57.
 Trivers, R. L. and D. E. Willard 1973 Natural Selection of Parental Ability to Vary the Sex Ratio of Offspring. *Science* 179: 90-92.
 Turke, P. W. and L. L. Betzig 1985 Those Who Can Do: Wealth, Status, and Reproductive Success on Ifaluk. *Ethology and Sociobiology* 6: 79-87.
 Voland, E. 1990 Differential Reproductive Success Within the Krummhörn Population (Germany, 18th and 19th Centuries). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 26: 65-72.